



YR

Transcriptional profiling of extracellular vesicle subpopulations enables liquid biopsy-based brain tumor classification

Margie Kinnersley, Claire Seibold, Tre Blohm, Riley Kemp, Sean Lodmell, Amanda Mast, Tia Seibold, Rachel Short Miller, Kelley Van Vaerenberghe, Katie Havranek FYR, Missoula, MT



Brain Tumor RNA Signatures from Plasma





 Tumor SPARCs and Neuro SPARCs target different EVs pools that provide unique DEG information and contain distinct RNA biotypes (Fig. 5).

- Counter to the view that most EV disease markers are miRNAs, SPARC sub-populated EVs contain abundant protein-coding transcripts which can provide information about tumor physiology and/or be useful for monitoring targeted therapy.
- Both panels capture a variety of non-coding RNA classes (e.g. IncRNAs, pseudogenes and mtRNAs) increasingly recognized for their role in cancer-related changes in gene expression and disease progression.



Figure 6. Percentage of DEGs found in brain-cancer related gene sets and heatmap of relative expression of prognostic genes across all samples.

 Many differentially expressed genes are brain-cancer associated, have enhanced expression in brain tissue or are GBM prognostic markers and have expression patterns that reflect disease status (Fig. 6).



Figure 6. (A) GO term enrichment analysis for Tumor SPARCs and Neuro SPARCs of top 5-10 most significant driver terms for each GO category (molecular function, biological process, cellular component) (B) Reactome Gene Concept Network Plot showing nodes with the lowest FDR. Grey highlighted boxes denote categories that ate unique to Tumor SPARCs or NeuroSPARCs.

Tumor SPARCs Longitudinal Pilot



- Expression profiles for a subset of patients for which longitudinal samples were available were examined for preand post-treatment changes in the EV transcriptome (Fig. 7).
- Several genes showed expression changes that suggest SPARCs subpopulated EVs may be useful for monitoring treatment response. Genes marked with an asterisk (*) are known therapeutic drug targets.



Biomarker Discovery Supervised machine-learning algorithms were used to detect differences between Malignant and Healthy samples • 10-fold cross-validation was used to calculate performance metrics (Table 2). • The best model was selected based on AUC (Table 2). Aalignant vs Healthy ROC Table 2. Performance metrics for leading models. Models optimized for maximum specificity Neuro Tumor **SPARCs SPARCs** AUC 0.89 0.91 0.74 0.81 Accuracy Sensitivity 0.61 0.73 Specificity 0.95 0.95 Neuro SPARCs Tumor SPARC Figure 8. ROC curve comparison of leading models 🔲 Healthy Tumor SPARCs Malignant 15-01 @ Gene / Gene B Gene C Gene D Gene F Gene E Healthy Neuro SPARCs Malignant Gene G Gene L Gene H Gene Gene . Gene K Figure 9. Box plots of candidate biomarker RNA features identified by the (A) the Tumor SPARCs model (B) the Neuro SPARCs model. Candidate biomarkers selected based on leading model AUC are cancer associated genes (Fig. 9). **Conclusions and Future Directions** EV subpopulations from brain tumor patient plasma provide unique opportunities to identify disease via minimally invasive methods and provide a better understanding of tumor biology. Tumor SPARCs and Neuro SPARCs capture EV subpopulations with unique characteristics. Combining markers from both panels is under investigation for ability to improve patient stratification and biomarker discovery. Preliminary data indicate that SPARCs will prove useful in blood-based longitudinal monitoring of patients. • Future work will include development of targeted panels for rapid detection of FYR malignant brain cancers.